In the previous section we showed that a homogeneous Cauchy-Euler equation has a whole that a homogeneous Cauchy-Euler equation has a whole previous section we showed that a homogeneous Cauchy-Euler equation has a whole previous section we showed that a homogeneous Cauchy-Euler equation has a whole previous section we showed that a homogeneous Cauchy-Euler equation has a whole previous section we showed that a homogeneous Cauchy-Euler equation has a whole previous section we showed that a homogeneous constant. In the previous section we showed that a homogeneous tant. Cauchy–Euler equations has a solution of the form $y(x) = x^r$, x > 0, where r is a certain constant. Cauchy–Euler equations have of the form $y(x) = x^r$, x > 0, where r is a certain constant. Cauchy–Euler equations have only one singular point (at x = 0). In this section $y(x) = x^r$, y(x) = y(x), where y(x) = y(x) is a certain constant. of the form $y(x) = x^r$, x > 0, where r is a certain coint (at x = 0). In this section we course, a very special form with only one singular point (at x = 0). In this section we course, a very special form with only one singular point (at x = 0). course, a very special form with only one singular process to other equations that have a how the theory for Cauchy-Euler equations generalizes to other equations that have a To motivate the procedure, let's rewrite the Cauchy-Euler equation, type of singularity.

To motivate the procedure, let's rewrite
$$x > 0.$$
(1)
$$ax^2y''(x) + bxy'(x) + cy(x) = 0.$$

in the standard form

in the standard form
$$y''(x) + p(x)y'(x) + q(x)y(x) = 0, \quad x > 0,$$
(2)

where

$$p(x) = \frac{p_0}{x}, \qquad q(x) = \frac{q_0}{x^2}.$$

and p_0 , q_0 are the constants b/a and c/a, respectively. When we substitute w(r, x) = x' for into equation (2), we get

$$[r(r-1) + p_0r + q_0]x^{r-2} = 0,$$

which yields the indicial equation

(3)
$$r(r-1) + p_0 r + q_0 = 0$$
.

Thus, if r_1 is a root of (3), then $w(r_1, x) = x^{r_1}$ is a solution to equations (1) and (2).

Let's now assume, more generally, that (2) is an equation for which xp(x) and $x \neq x$ instead of being constants, are analytic functions. That is, in some open interval about x=0.

(4)
$$xp(x) = p_0 + p_1x + p_2x^2 + \cdots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_nx^n$$
,

(5)
$$x^2q(x) = q_0 + q_1x + q_2x^2 + \cdots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_nx^n$$
.

It follows from (4) and (5) that

(6)
$$\lim_{x\to 0} xp(x) = p_0$$
 and $\lim_{x\to 0} x^2q(x) = q_0$,

and hence, for x near 0 we have $xp(x) \approx p_0$ and $x^2q(x) \approx q_0$. Therefore, it is reasonable to expect that the solutions to (2) expect that the solutions to (2) will behave (for x near 0) like the solutions to the Cauchy-Euler equation

$$x^2y'' + p_0xy' + q_0y = 0.$$

When p(x) and q(x) satisfy (4) and (5), we say that the singular point at x = 0 is regular generally, we state the following More generally, we state the following.

Regular Singular Point

Definition 3. A singular point

$$X''(x) = p_{X, Y, Y, x}$$
is said to be a round.

is said to be a **regular singular point** it is to

at the Otherwise to is called an irregular singular point.

Classify the singular points of the equation

(8)
$$(x^2 + 1)^2 y''(x) = (x + 1)$$
.
Here

Solution Here

$$p(x) = \frac{x-1}{(x^2-1)^2} = \frac{1}{(x-1)^2 - 1}$$

$$q(x) = \frac{-1}{(x^2-1)^2} = \frac{-1}{(x-1)^2(x-1)^2}$$
where $\frac{1}{(x^2-1)^2} = \frac{1}{(x-1)^2(x-1)^2}$

from which we see that ± 1 are the singular points of (8). For the singularity at L we have

$$(x-1)p(x) = \frac{1}{(x-1)(x-1)}.$$

which is not analytic at x = 1. Therefore, x = 1 is an irregular singular point For the singularity at -1, we have

$$(x+1)p(x) = \frac{1}{(x-1)^2}. \qquad (x-1)^2q(x) = \frac{-1}{(x-1)^2}.$$

both of which are analytic at x = -1. Hence, x = -1 is a regular singular point. •

Let's assume that x = 0 is a regular singular point for equation (7) so that p(x) and q(x)satisfy (4) and (5): that is.

(9)
$$p(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n x^{n-1}, \quad q(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x^{n-2}.$$

The idea of the mathematician Frobenius was that since Cauchy-Euler equations have solutions of the form x', then for the regular singular point x = 0, there should be solutions to (7) of the form x^r times an analytic function. Hence we seek solutions to (7) of the form

(10)
$$w(r,x) = x^r \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+r}, \quad x > 0.$$

In writing (10), we have assumed a_0 is the first nonzero coefficient, so we are left with determining r and the coefficients a_n , $n \ge 1$. Differentiating w(r, x) with respect to x, we have

(11)
$$w'(r,x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+r)a_n x^{n+r-1}$$
.

(12)
$$w''(r,x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+r)(n+r-1)a_n x^{n+r-2}.$$

In the terminology of complex variables, p has a pole of order at most 1, and q has a pole of order at most 2, at x_0 . *Historical Footnote: George Frobenius (1848-1917) developed this method in 1873. He is also known for his research on group theory.

If we substitute the above expansions for w(r, x), w'(r, x), u''(r, x), p(x), and $q(x)|_{\Pi_{[0]}}$, we obtain

we obtain
$$(13) \qquad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+r)(n+r-1)a_n x^{n+r-1} + \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n x^{n+r}\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+r)a_n x^{n+r-1}\right) + \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x^{n-r}\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+r}\right) = 0$$

Now we use the Cauchy product to perform the series multiplications and then $group_{1/2}$ powers of x, starting with the lowest power, $x^{1/2}$. This gives

(14)
$$[r(r-1) + p_0 r + q_0] a_0 x'^{-2}$$

$$+ [(r+1)ra_1 + (r+1)p_0 a_1 + p_1 ra_0 + q_0 a_1 + q_1 a_0] x'^{-1} + \cdots = 0$$

For the expansion on the left-hand side of equation (14) to sum to zero, each coefficient be zero. Considering the first term, x^{r-2} , we find

(15)
$$[r(r-1) + p_0 r + q_0] a_0 = 0.$$

We have assumed that $a_0 = 0$, so the quantity in brackets must be zero. This gives the mide equation: it is the same as the one we derived for Cauchy–Euler equations.

Indicial Equation

Definition 4. If x_0 is a regular singular point of y'' + py' + qy = 0, then the **indicial** equation for this point is

1

(16)
$$r(r-1) + p_0 r + q_0 = 0$$
,

where

$$p_0 := \lim_{x \to \infty} (x - x_0) p(x)$$
, $q_0 := \lim_{x \to \infty} (x - x_0)^2 q(x)$.

The roots of the indicial equation are called the **exponents** (indices) of the singularity x_i

Example 2 Find the indicial equation and the exponents at the singularity x = -1 of

(17)
$$(x^2 - 1)^2 y''(x) + (x + 1)y'(x) - y(x) = 0.$$

Solution In Example 1 we showed that x = -1 is a regular singular point. Since $p(x+1)^{-1}(x-1)^{-2}$ and $q(x) = -(x+1)^{-2}(x-1)^{-2}$, we find

$$p_0 = \lim_{x \to -1} (x+1)p(x) = \lim_{x \to -1} (x-1)^{-2} = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$q_0 = \lim_{x \to -1} (x+1)^2 q(x) = \lim_{x \to -1} \left[-(x-1)^{-2} \right] = -\frac{1}{4}.$$

Substituting these values for p_0 and q_0 into (16), we obtain the indicial equation

(18)
$$r(r-1) + \frac{1}{4}r - \frac{1}{4} = 0$$
.

Multiplying by 4 and factoring gives (4r+1)(r-1) = 0. Hence, $r = 1, -1 + 40^{\circ}$ exponents.

31

As we have seen, we can use the indicial equation to determine those values of i for which the form of i' in (14) is zero. It was some coefficient of V^{*} in (14) is zero. If we set the coefficient of V^{*} in (14) is zero. If we set the coefficient of V^{*} in (14) are the coefficient of V^{*} in

 $+(r+1)r+(r+1)p_0+q_0|a_1+(p_{1r+q_1)a_6}$

Since a_0 is arbitrary and we know the p_i 's, q_i 's and i, we can solve equation (19) for a_i probability as to be the h_0 's Since a_0 is a solution of a_1 in (19) is not zero. This will be the case if we take r to be the hirper count of a_1 in die interval. of the two roots of the indicial equation (see Problem 43, page 464). Sumfarly when we set the coefficient of A' equal to zero, we can solve for a_2 in terms of the $p_1's, q_2's, r, a_3$, and a_4' Continuing in this manner, we can recursively solve for the a_n 's. The procedure is illustrated in

Find a series expansion about the regular singular point x=0 for a solution to Example 3

(20)
$$(x+2)x^2y''(x) = xy'(x) + (1+x)y(x) = 0,$$
 there $p(x) = -x^{-1}(x+2) + 1$.

Here $p(x) = -x^{-1}(x+2)^{-1}$ and $q(x) = x^{-2}(x+2)^{-1}(1+x)$, so Solution

$$p_0 = \lim_{x \to 0} x p(x) = \lim_{x \to 0} \left[-(x+2)^{-1} \right] = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

$$q_0 = \lim_{x \to 0} x^2 q(x) = \lim_{x \to 0} (x+2)^{-1} (1+x) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since x = 0 is a regular singular point, we seek a solution to (20) of the form

(21)
$$w(r,x) = x^r \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+r}$$
.

By the previous discussion, r must satisfy the indicial equation (16). Substituting for p_0 and q_0 in (16), we obtain

$$r(r-1) - \frac{1}{2}r + \frac{1}{2} = 0$$
,

which simplifies to $2r^2 - 3r + 1 = (2r - 1)(r - 1) = 0$. Thus, r = 1 and r = 1/2 are the roots of the indicial equation associated with x = 0.

Let's use the larger root r=1 and solve for a_1, a_2 , etc., to obtain the solution w(1, x). We can simplify the computations by substituting w(r,x) directly into equation (20), where the coefficients are polynomials in x, rather than dividing by $(x+2)x^2$ and having to work with the rational functions p(x) and q(x). Inserting w(r,x) in (20) and recalling the formulas for w'(r, x) and w''(r, x) in (11) and (12) gives (with r = 1)

(22)
$$(x+2)x^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)na_{n}x^{n-1} - x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)a_{n}x^{n}$$

$$+ (1+x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}x^{n+1} = 0 ,$$

which we can write as

which we can write as
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)na_n x^{n+2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2(n+1)na_n x^{n+1} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)a_n x^{n+1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+2} = 0.$$

^{1&}quot;Larger" in the sense of Problem 43.

marine the state of the state o

Lightle & S

Method of Frobenius

rail Set per a

the grade afficiency of trumming stay and

ibilet

 $1.501 \qquad \text{if } 1.501 \qquad \text{if } 1.50$

and using territories, differentiation substitute a real equation. 29 position on equation of the torns

Aplica Elici

- (c) Set the coefficients A. A. A. A. Sequal to zero. Notice that the equation A. A. Iris. just a constant multiple of the indicial equation () [1] a port of [1]
- (d) Use the system of equations

 $A_0 = 0$, $A_1 = 0$, $A_2 = 0$

to find a recurrence relation involving a_i and a_i, a_1, \dots, a_r

- (e) Take $r = r_0$, the larger root of the indicial equation, and use the relation obtained in step (d) to determine a_1, a_2, \dots recursively in terms of a_0 and r
- A series expansion of a solution to (29) is

 $w(x_0,x) = (x-x_0)^n \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x-x_0)^n, \quad x \ge x_0.$

where a_0 is arbitrary and the a_0 's are defined in terms of a_0 and r_0

Next we shift the indices so that each summation in (23) is in powers x^k . With $k = n + 2 \ln k$ first and last summations and k = n + 1 in the rest, (23) becomes

(24)
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(k-1)(k-2) + 1 \right] a_{k-2} x^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[2k(k-1) - k + 1 \right] a_{k-1} x^k = 0.$$

Separating off the k = 1 term and combining the rest under one summation yields

(25)
$$[2(1)(0)-1+1]a_0x+\sum_{k=2}^n [(k^2-3k+3)a_{k-2}+(2k-1)(k-1)a_{k-1}]_{k=0}$$

Notice that the coefficient of x in (25) is zero. This is because r = 1 is a root of the initial equation, which is the equation we obtained by setting the coefficient of the lowest power of equal to zero.

equal to zero. We can now determine the a_k 's in terms of a_0 by setting the coefficients of x^k in equal (25) equal to zero for k = 2, 3, etc. This gives the recurrence relation

(26)
$$(k^2-3k+3)a_{k-2}+(2k-1)(k-1)a_{k-1}=0$$
.

or, equivalently,

(27)
$$a_{k-1} = -\frac{k^2 - 3k + 3}{(2k-1)(k-1)} a_{k-2}, \quad k \ge 2$$

Setting k = 2, 3, and 4 in (27), we find

$$a_1 = -\frac{1}{3}a_0 \qquad (k = 2),$$

$$a_2 = -\frac{3}{10}a_1 = \frac{1}{10}a_0 \qquad (k = 3),$$

$$a_3 = -\frac{1}{3}a_2 = -\frac{1}{20}a_0 \qquad (k = 4).$$

Substituting these values for r, a_1 , a_2 , and a_3 into (21) gives

(28)
$$w(1,x) = a_0 x^1 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}x + \frac{1}{10}x^2 - \frac{1}{30}x^3 + \dots\right),$$

where a_0 is arbitrary. In particular, for $a_0 = 1$, we get the solution

$$y_1(x) = x - \frac{1}{3}x^2 + \frac{1}{10}x^2 - \frac{1}{30}x^4 + \cdots \quad (x > 0)$$

See Figure 8.8 on page 459. •

To find a second linearly independent solution to equation (20), we could by self r = 1/2 and solving for $a_1, a_2, ...$ to obtain a solution w(1/2, x) (see Problem 44, page 44). In this particular case, the approach would work. However, if we encounter an indical ention that has a repeated root, then the method of Frobenius would yield just one union (apart from constant multiples). To find the desired second solution, we must use union sechangue, such as the reduction of order procedure discussed in Section 4.7 or Exercise 4. Problem 31, page 327. We tackle the problem of hinding a second linearly independent union in the section increase. The important question that remains concern, the radius of correspondent appears in \$1). The following theorems altrains an answer

Frobenius's Theorem

Theorem 6. If x is a regular singular point of equation (20), then the angle of the least one series solution of the forms (x) = x + x + y + y + z = 1 is the larger (x) = x + y + z + z = 1 and the land equation. Moreover, the series enverges for all x = x + y + z = 1 where R is the distance fine x = x + z = 1. The nearest other so that $p_{\text{original area}}$ are consider someters so (x) = x + z = 1.

The importance of the example, state of the example of the series expansions about the incidence of the example of the example

The redievelor fluor as simple ment of the method of Linbenius but also fluor fluor method of Linbenius but also

Example 4. Endoscope adumination of the second of the seco

Solution Here place is saidly a point of (32) we we saying a

Then the indicial equation is

which has the roots $r_1 - r_2 = 1$ Next we substitute

$$(M) \qquad \mathbf{w}(r, \mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{t}' \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n \mathbf{t}^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n \mathbf{t}^{n+r}$$

into (32) and obtain

$$(M) \qquad x^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{n} (n+r) (n+r-1) a_{n} x^{n+r-2} - x \sum_{n=0}^{n} (n+r) a_{n} x^{n+r-2}$$

$$+ (1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{n} a_{n} x^{n+r} = 0,$$

which we write as

(35)
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+r)(n+r-1)a_n x^{n+r} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+r)a_n x^{n+r} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+r} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+r+1} = 0.$$

For a proof of this theorem, we Ordinary Differential Equations, by E. L. Ince (Date: Publications)

the sand the term core from him too. The sand combinates the test under one summation

if the Orland for a self-time production is distributed

Relation (4th can be used to with the more of the

Setting () (a) I massey meaning a setting the great

$$(42) \qquad a_k = \frac{1}{k^2} a_{k-1} = -k = 1$$

For L = 1, 2, and 3, we now find

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{1}, a_1 = a$$

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{2}, a_1 = \frac{1}{(2 \cdot 1)^2}, a_0 = \frac{1}{4}, a_0$$

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{3^2}, a_2 = \frac{1}{(3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1)^2}, a_0 = \frac{1}{36}, a_0$$

In general, we have

$$(43) a_k = \frac{1}{(k!)^2} a_0$$

Hence, equation (32) has a series solution given by

Hence, equation (32) has a series solution
$$u$$

(44) $w(1, x) = a_0 x \left\{ 1 + x + \frac{1}{4} x^2 + \frac{1}{36} x^3 + \cdots \right\}$
 $= a_0 x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k!)^2} x^k, \quad x > 0.$

Since 4 as 0 is the only singular point for equation (32), it follows from Frobenius's theowith or directly by the ratio test that the series solution (44) converges for all x > 0.

In the next two examples, we only offline the dis-

Figure 16. Time a series solution about the regular smouthir point x=0 of

Solution Since plant at a and quality to we see that you are maked a regular migular pro-

The melicial equation is

with roots in thandar

Note we wish trible

entor 45%. When a little of the section in the little is accept.

$$474$$
 or 6.6 for 6.0 for 4.0 for 1.0 for 1.0

Next we set the coefficients may be undefined.

and, for $\lambda \geq 1$, the recurrence relation

$$(50) \qquad (k-r-1)(k-r-4)a_{i_1} = a_{i_2} = 0$$

For $r = r_1 = 0$, equation (48) becomes $0 \cdot a_0 = 0$ and (49) becomes $4 \cdot a_0 = 0$ like although a_0 is arbitrary, a_1 must be zero. Setting $r = r_0 = 0$ in (50), we find

(51)
$$a_{k+1} = \frac{1}{(k+1)(k+4)} a_{k-1}, \quad k \ge 1.$$

from which it follows (after a few experimental computations) that $a_{2e^{-1}}=0$ for k=0 and

(52)
$$a_{2k} = \frac{1}{[2 \cdot 4 \cdots (2k)][5 \cdot 7 \cdots (2k+3)]^{a_0}}$$

= $\frac{1}{2^k k! [5 \cdot 7 \cdots (2k+3)]^{a_0}}, \quad k \ge 1.$

Hence equation (45) has the power series solution

(53)
$$w(0,x) = a_0 \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k k! [5 \cdot 7 \cdot \cdot \cdot (2k+3)]} x^{2k} \right\}, \quad x > 0.$$

It in I camples we had worked with the root r

It in a variety was to a worked with the root r=r and the solutions (see Problem 48) Final a series solution about the regular singular point of x=0 of

Since
$$p$$
 : p :

Solution Since $p_{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{t \in A(n)} \frac{1}{t^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{t \in A(n)} \frac{$

So the indictal equation is

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}$$

$$Shz = \{g(x), (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} g(x) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} g_{i,y}\}$$

Setting the coefficients equal to the law laws

and, for keep to the recurrence relation

(60)
$$||k + r + 1 - k + r + 3| a_{k+1}| - a_{k+1} = 0$$

With $r = r_1 = 0$, these equations lead to the following formulas $a_{1,2} = 0.4 \pm 0.4$. and

(61)
$$u_{2k} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot (2k) \left[4 \cdot 6 \cdot 4 \cdot 2k - 2 \right]} = \frac{1}{2^{2k} 2^{2k} k + 1} e^{2k k \cdot 2k}$$
 $k = 0$

Hence equation (54) has the power series solution

Hence equation (54) has
$$x = \frac{1}{2^{2k}k!(k+1)!}x^{2k}$$
, $x > 0$.

Unlike in Example 5, if we work with the second root $r = r_2 = -2$ in Example 6, then

we do not obtain a second linearly independent solution (see Problem 46). In the preceding examples we were able to use the method of Frobenius to find a series

solution valid to the right (x > 0) of the regular singular point x = 0. For x < 0, we can use the change of variables $\mathcal{X} = -t$ and then solve the resulting equation for t > 0. The method of Frobenius also applies to higher-order linear equations (see Problems 35–38)

8.6 EXERCISES

and the period of

- A second
- ...
- 1
- 4.
 - 5 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 10
- 6 I ... 1 ... 1 ... 1 ... 10
- No contract on the first
- Note that the second of the se
- 10, 3 () 1 = 11 10 (20)

The Programs of the Residence and another against the contract of the contract

- H. C. St. 198 OF 18 to 30
- 12 complete the second of the
- D. v. a. 21. e.a. a.t. on the second
- 15. may resembly training to the or
- 17a so 1 as 14 1 180 124 40 56
- $18_{\rm S}/4\,{\rm Cyan}\,({\rm c}) = 3_{\rm C}/(n) \quad {\rm at} \quad {\rm c} = n \,. \label{eq:constraint}$

In Problems 19-24, are the method of Frobenius (2000), and the trist four non-conterns in the series expansion of a content of the given equation for x (A).

- 200 200 105 No. 130 0 V = 0
- 21, Ar . 11 . P. . 11
- $22(-\iota_1)^n+\iota_2=(\iota_1-\iota_2)$
- 23. $e^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cdot (e^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cdot e^{\frac{\pi}{2}}) = 0$
- 24. 30° (2 1) 1 = 0

In Problems 25-30, use the method of Frobenius to find a general formula for the coefficient a_n in a series expansion about x = 0 for a solution to the given equation for $x \ge 0$.

- 25. $4x^2x^2 + 2x^2x^3 = (x + 3)y = 0$
- 26. $t^2 t'' + (t' t) t' + y = 0$
- 27. m'' n' m = 0
- 28. $3x^2y^2 + 8xy^2 + (x-2)y = 0$
- 29, xy'' + (x 1)y' 2y = 0
- 36. x(x+1)y'' + (x+5)y' 4y = 0

and Artifaction of the second of the second

- 3 1
- 32.
- 4.1
- 14

A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

- 12
- 36
- T-
- ts in the contract of

- ‡1)
- 40

about the point at infinity I

as the hange of services
In Problems 41 and 42 show as
point of the given differential error
a regular singular point for the refind at least the first four non-enabout infinity of a solution to the

- **41.** $e^{i\theta}e^{i\theta} = e^2e^2 = e^2 = e_1$
- **42.** $18(x 4)^2(x 6)(x^2 9x)(x 6)$
- 43. Show that if r₁ and r₂ are roots to be indicated (16) on page 456, with r₂ the larger (19). Refer to then the coefficient of a in equal in 19 on captain not zero when r₁ = r₁.
- 44. To obtain a second linearly independent solution (20):
 - (a) Substitute w(r, x) given in (2) onto 20 aks clude that the coefficients u_x , x = 1 must see recurrence relation

$$(k+r-1)(2k+2r-1)a$$
,
+ $\{(k+r-1)(k+r-2): 1 = 0$