## NONOSCILLATION OF SECOND ORDER SUPERLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

## L. H. ERBE, H. X. XIA AND J. H. WU

ABSTRACT. Some sufficient conditions are given for all solutions of the nonlinear differential equation y''(x) + p(x)f(y) = 0 to be nonoscillatory, where p is positive and

$$0 < \inf_{y \neq 0} \frac{f(y)}{y^{\gamma}} \le \sup_{y \neq 0} \frac{f(y)}{y^{\gamma}} < \infty$$

for a quotient  $\gamma$  of odd positive integers,  $\gamma > 1$ .

1. **Introduction.** The main purpose of this paper is to present some criteria for all solutions of the following second order nonlinear differential equation

(1.1) 
$$y''(x) + p(x)f(y) = 0$$

to be nonoscillatory, where  $p: R^+ \to R^+, R^+ = [0, \infty)$ , is positive and continuous,  $f: R \to R$  is continuous and

$$(1.2) 0 < \inf_{y \in R \setminus \{0\}} \frac{f(y)}{y^{\gamma}} \le \sup_{y \in R \setminus \{0\}} \frac{f(y)}{y^{\gamma}} < \infty$$

for a quotient  $\gamma$  of odd positive integers,  $\gamma > 1$ .

Equation (1.1) includes the so-called (generalized) Emden-Fowler equation

(1.3) 
$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[p(t)\frac{du}{dt}\right] + q(t)u^{\gamma} = 0, \quad t \ge 0$$

under the standard Liouville transformation

$$s = \int_0^t \frac{d\tau}{p(\tau)}$$
 and  $y(s) = u(t)$  if  $\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{p(t)} = \infty$ 

or the alternative transformation

$$s = \left[ \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{p(\tau)} \right]^{-1}, \quad y(s) = su(t) \quad \text{if} \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{p(t)} < \infty$$

where  $p: R^+ \to R^+ \setminus \{0\}$  and  $q: R^+ \to R^+$  are continuous (cf. [20]). This equation arises in various applications such as gas dynamics, fluid dynamics, relativistic mechanics,

This research is supported by NSERC Canada.

Received by the editors October 2, 1991.

AMS subject classification: 34C15.

<sup>©</sup> Canadian Mathematical Society 1994.

nuclear physics and chemically reacting systems, and its positive solutions give rise to ground states for certain semilinear elliptic problems (*cf.* [14], [15]).

A great deal of research has been devoted to the study of oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions and various criteria have been established to guarantee the oscillation of all solutions or the existence of nonoscillatory solutions. For details, we refer to [10], [15], [20] and the references therein. However, as Fowler [13] indicated, the Emden-Fowler equation may at the same time possess oscillatory and nonoscillatory solutions. Therefore it is an interesting but difficult problem to find general conditions to guarantee all solutions are nonoscillatory. In [16], Nehari proved that all solutions to the equation

$$y''(x) + p(x)y^{\gamma} = 0$$

are nonoscillatory if  $p(x)(x \ln x)^{\frac{\gamma+3}{2}}$  is nonincreasing for sufficiently large x. This result was subsequently improved by Chiou [5], Nehari [17] and Kaper-Kwong [15]. In [15], it was shown that all solutions to equation (1.4) are nonoscillatory if there exists a  $\beta > 0$  such that  $p(x)x^{\frac{\gamma+3}{2}}(\ln x)^{\beta}$  is nonincreasing for all sufficiently large x. However, the techniques employed involve clever but complicated use of differential and integral inequalities and identities.

In [8]–[12], the first author of this paper and his collaborators established some nonoscillation criteria by using a suitable change of variables and the energy function method. For example, in [12] it was shown that all solutions to equation (1.4) are nonoscillatory if  $p(x)x^{\frac{2+2}{3}}(\ln x)^{\beta}$  is nonincreasing and  $p(x)x^{\frac{2+2}{3}}(\ln x)^{\eta}$  is bounded for some pair  $(\beta, \eta)$  with  $\beta > 0$  and  $\frac{2\beta}{2+3} + \frac{2\eta}{2-1} \ge 1$ .

It is the purpose of this paper to continue the above geometrically enlightening method for equation (1.1) by employing a more general transformation. In Section 2, we will introduce our transformation and energy functions, and prove our general results which involve some auxillary functions. It turns out that nonoscillation criteria depend on the choice of this function. In Section 3, we will illustrate how to select this function to produce a series of nonoscillation criteria. It is shown that all solutions of equation (1.4) are nonoscillatory if there are pairs  $(\beta_i, \eta_i)$  with  $\beta_1 > 0$ ,  $\beta_i \geq 0$ ,  $\frac{2\beta_1}{\gamma+3} + \frac{2\eta_1}{\gamma-1} \geq 1$ .  $\frac{\beta_i}{\gamma+3} + \frac{\eta_i}{\gamma-1} \geq 0$  for  $i = 2, 3, \ldots, N$ , such that  $p(x)x^{\frac{\gamma+3}{2}}\prod_{i=1}^{N}[\ln^i(x)]^{\beta_i}$  is nonincreasing and  $p(x)x^{\frac{\gamma+3}{2}}\prod_{i=1}^{N}[\ln^i(x)]^{\eta_i}$  is bounded, where  $\ln^1 x = \ln x, \ln^i(x) = \ln(\ln^{i-1}(x))$  for 1 < i < N.

2. **Transformations and energy functions.** Making the following change of variables

(2.1) 
$$x = e^t, y = g(t)e^{\alpha t}u, 0 < \alpha \le \frac{1}{2}$$

where  $g: R \to R$  is an undetermined twice continuously differentiable function satisfying g(t) > 0 for sufficiently large t, we can rewrite equation (1.1) as

$$(2.2) \qquad \left(\rho(t)u'\right)' + a(t,u)u = 0$$

where

(2.3) 
$$\rho(t) = g^{2}(t)e^{(2\alpha - 1)t}$$

(2.4) 
$$a(t,u) = \rho(t) \left[ \sigma(t) f\left(g(t) e^{\alpha t} u\right) / g^{\gamma}(t) e^{\alpha \gamma t} u^{\gamma} - \lambda(t) \right]$$

(2.5) 
$$\sigma(t) = p(e^t)g^{\gamma - 1}(t)e^{[\alpha(\gamma - 1) + 2]t}$$

(2.6) 
$$\lambda(t) = -\left[\frac{g''(t)}{g(t)} + \frac{(2\alpha - 1)g'(t)}{g(t)} + \alpha(\alpha - 1)\right].$$

It is easy to prove the following facts

(2.7) 
$$a(t, u) > 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad |u| > k_1 \alpha(t),$$

(2.8) 
$$a(t, u) > 0 \text{ if } |u| > k_2 \alpha(t),$$

(2.9) 
$$\int_0^u a(t,s)s \, ds > 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad |u| > k_1 \left[ \frac{\gamma+1}{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \alpha(t),$$

(2.10) 
$$\int_0^u a(t,s)s \, ds > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |u| > k_2 \left[ \frac{\gamma+1}{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \alpha(t),$$

where

(2.11) 
$$k_1 = \left[\frac{1}{c_2}\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}, \quad k_2 = \left[\frac{1}{c_1}\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}$$

(2.12) 
$$c_2 = \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in R \setminus \{0\}} \frac{f(\mathbf{y})}{\mathbf{y}^{\gamma}}, \quad c_1 = \inf_{\mathbf{y} \in R \setminus \{0\}} \frac{f(\mathbf{y})}{\mathbf{y}^{\gamma}}$$

and

(2.13) 
$$\alpha(t) = \left[\frac{\lambda(t)}{\sigma(t)}\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}.$$

Our general nonoscillation criterion is as follows

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose

$$(i) k_1 \left\lceil \frac{\gamma+1}{2} \right\rceil^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} > k_2,$$

(ii) 
$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \rho(t) = \infty$$
,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \lambda(t) = \alpha(1-\alpha)$ ,

(iii) 
$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{f(t)}{t'} \right] \leq 0, \frac{d}{dt} [g(t)e^{\alpha t}] \geq 0,$$

(iv) 
$$\frac{d}{dt}[\rho^2(t)\sigma(t)] \leq 0, \frac{d}{dt}[\rho^2(t)\lambda(t)] \geq 0,$$

(v)  $\liminf_{t\to\infty} \int_t^{t+\tau} d[\rho^2(s)\lambda(s)\alpha^2(s)] > 0$ 

with  $\tau e^{\tau} = \delta$  and  $\delta > 0$  satisfying

$$0 < \delta < \frac{1}{k_2 \sqrt{\alpha(1-\alpha)\Delta}} \left[ k_1 \left( \frac{\gamma+1}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} - k_2 \right] \quad and \quad \Delta = \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}.$$

Then all nontrivial solutions of equation (2.2) are nonoscillatory.

PROOF. By way of contradiction, we assume that there exists a nontrivial oscillatory solution u(t) of equation (2.2). We construct the following energy function

(2.14) 
$$E(t) = [\rho(t)u'(t)]^{2} + 2\rho(t) \int_{0}^{u(t)} a(t,s)s \, ds$$

$$= [\rho(t)u'(t)]^{2} + 2\rho^{2}(t) \left[ \sigma(t) \int_{0}^{u(t)} \frac{f(g(t)e^{\alpha t}s)}{g^{\gamma}(t)e^{\alpha \gamma t}} \, ds - \frac{1}{2}\lambda(t)u^{2}(t) \right].$$

With some manipulation, we get

(2.15) 
$$E'(t) = 2[\rho^{2}(t)\sigma(t)]' \int_{0}^{u(t)} \frac{f(g(t)e^{\alpha t}s)}{g^{\gamma}(t)e^{\alpha \gamma t}} ds - [\rho^{2}(t)\lambda(t)]'u^{2}(t) + 2\rho^{2}(t)\sigma(t) \int_{0}^{u(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \frac{f(g(t)e^{\alpha t}s)}{e^{\gamma}(t)e^{\alpha \gamma t}} \right] ds.$$

Condition (iv) guarantees that the first two terms of E'(t) are non-positive. From condition (iii), we have

$$2\rho^{2}(t)\sigma(t)\int_{0}^{u(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \frac{f\left(g(t)e^{\alpha t}s\right)}{g^{\gamma}(t)e^{\alpha\gamma t}} \right] ds = 2\rho^{2}(t)\sigma(t)\int_{0}^{u(t)} \frac{f'(ws)w^{\gamma}s - \gamma w^{\gamma - 1}f(ws)}{w^{2\gamma}}w' ds$$

$$= 2\rho^{2}(t)\sigma(t)\int_{0}^{u(t)} \left[ \frac{dw}{dt} \right] \frac{d}{dws} \left[ \ln \frac{f(ws)}{(ws)^{\gamma}} \right] \cdot \frac{f(ws)ws}{w^{\gamma + 1}} ds$$

$$< 0$$

where  $w = g(t)e^{\alpha t}$ . Therefore,  $E'(t) \le 0$  for all  $t \ge t_0$ . Since u(t) is nontrivial,  $E(t) = [\rho(t)u'(t)]^2 > 0$  whenever u(t) = 0. It then follows from the oscillating property of u and the monotonicity of E(t) that E(t) > 0 for all  $t > t_0$ .

Whenever u'(t) = 0,  $E(t) = 2\rho(t) \int_0^{u(t)} a(t, s)s \, ds > 0$ . From (2.9) and condition (i), we get

$$(2.16) |u(t)| > k_1 \left\lceil \frac{\gamma+1}{2} \right\rceil^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \alpha(t) \ge k_2 \alpha(t).$$

Therefore,  $|u(\theta)| > k_2 \alpha(\theta)$  for all  $\theta$  in a neighborhood of t.

Now suppose  $t_n$  and  $t_{n+1}$  are successive zeros of u. We can find  $\{r_n\}$ ,  $\{\tau_n\}$  and  $\{s_n\}$ , satisfying  $t_n < r_n < s_n < t_{n+1}$  with  $|u(r_n)| = k_2 \alpha(r_n)$ ,  $|u(s_n)| = k_2 \alpha(s_n)$ ,  $|u| > k_2 \alpha$  on  $(r_n, s_n)$  and  $u'(\tau_n) = 0$ . Hence from (2.16), we get

$$(2.17) |u(\tau_n)| > k_1 \left\lceil \frac{\gamma+1}{2} \right\rceil^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \alpha(\tau_n).$$

We assume u(t) > 0 on  $(t_n, t_{n+1})$  (in case u(t) < 0, the argument is the same). Since  $|u(t)| > k_2\alpha(t)$  on  $(r_n, s_n)$  we have a(t, u) > 0 from (2.8) for  $t \in (r_n, s_n)$ . Then, equation (2.2) implies  $\rho(t)u'(t)$  is nonincreasing on  $(r_n, s_n)$ . Consequently, with (2.17) in mind, we have

$$(\tau_{n} - r_{n})u'(r_{n}) \geq \int_{r_{n}}^{\tau_{n}} \left[ \frac{g(t)e^{\alpha t}}{g(r_{n})e^{\alpha r_{n}}} \right]^{2} \frac{e^{-t}}{e^{-r_{n}}} u'(t) dt$$

$$\geq \int_{r_{n}}^{\tau_{n}} \frac{e^{-t}}{e^{-r_{n}}} u'(t) dt$$

$$\geq e^{-(\tau_{n} - r_{n})} \int_{r_{n}}^{\tau_{n}} u'(t) dt$$

$$= e^{-(\tau_{n} - r_{n})} [u(\tau_{n}) - u(r_{n})]$$

$$= e^{-(\tau_{n} - r_{n})} [u(\tau_{n}) - k_{2}\alpha(r_{n})]$$

$$\geq e^{-(\tau_{n} - r_{n})} \left[ k_{1} \left( \frac{\gamma + 1}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma - 1}} \alpha(\tau_{n}) - k_{2}\alpha(r_{n}) \right].$$

Since  $\alpha(t) = \left[\frac{\rho^2(t)\lambda(t)}{\rho^2(t)\sigma(t)}\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}$ , the condition (iv) implies  $\alpha(t)$  is nondecreasing. We have, by (2.18),

$$(2.19) (\tau_n - r_n)u'(r_n) > e^{-(\tau_n - r_n)} \left[ k_1 \left( \frac{\gamma + 1}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma - 1}} - k_2 \right] \alpha(r_n).$$

To estimate  $u'(r_n)$ , we observe that  $E(r_n) \le E_0 = E(t_0)$  for  $t_n \ge t_0$ , and

$$(2.20) [\rho(r_n)u'(r_n)]^2 + \int_0^{k_2\alpha(r_n)} 2\rho^2(r_n)[\sigma(r_n)c_1s^{\gamma} - \lambda(r_n)s] ds \le E(r_n) \le E_0.$$

Note that

$$\begin{split} 2\rho^{2}(r_{n}) \int_{0}^{k_{2}\alpha(r_{n})} \left[c_{1}\sigma(r_{n})s^{\gamma} - \lambda(r_{n})s\right] ds \\ &= \frac{2c_{1}\rho^{2}(r_{n})\sigma(r_{n})k_{2}^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma+1} \alpha^{\gamma+1}(r_{n}) - \rho^{2}(r_{n})\lambda(r_{n})k_{2}^{2}\alpha^{2}(r_{n}) \\ &= \frac{2c_{1}k_{2}^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma+1}\rho^{2}(r_{n})\sigma(r_{n}) \left[\frac{\lambda(r_{n})}{\sigma(r_{n})}\right]^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}} - \rho^{2}(r_{n})\lambda(r_{n})k_{2}^{2} \left[\frac{\lambda(r_{n})}{\sigma(r_{n})}\right]^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}} \\ &= k_{2}^{2}\rho^{2}(r_{n})\lambda(r_{n}) \left[\frac{\lambda(r_{n})}{\sigma(r_{n})}\right]^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}} \left[\frac{2c_{1}k_{2}^{\gamma-1}}{\gamma+1} - 1\right] \\ &= -\Delta k_{2}^{2}\rho^{2}(r_{n})\lambda(r_{n})\alpha^{2}(r_{n}). \end{split}$$

Therefore, from equation (2.20), we deduce

$$[\rho(r_n)u'(r_n)]^2 \le \Delta k_2^2 \rho^2(r_n)\lambda(r_n)\alpha^2(r_n) + E_0$$

which implies

(2.21) 
$$u'(r_n) \leq \left[\rho^{-2}(r_n)E_0 + \Delta k_2^2 \lambda(r_n)\alpha^2(r_n)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is easy to see that, from the condition (v),  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \rho^2(t)\lambda(t)\alpha^2(t) = \infty$ . If we choose  $c > \sqrt{\Delta\alpha(1-\alpha)}$ , then (2.21) gives

(2.22) 
$$u'(r_n) \le ck_2\alpha(r_n)$$
 for sufficiently large  $n$ .

Combining (2.19) and (2.22), we see that

$$(\tau_n - r_n) > \frac{1}{ck_2} e^{-(\tau_n - r_n)} \left[ k_1 \left( \frac{\gamma + 1}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma - 1}} - k_2 \right]$$

or,

$$(\tau_n - r_n)e^{(\tau_n - r_n)} > \frac{1}{ck_2} \left[ k_1 \left( \frac{\gamma + 1}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma - 1}} - k_2 \right] = \delta,$$

from which it follows

$$(2.23) s_n - r_n > \tau.$$

On the other hand, by (2.15) we get

$$E'(t) \le \frac{2[\rho^2(t)\sigma(t)]'}{\gamma + 1} c_1 u^{\gamma + 1}(t) - [\rho^2(t)\lambda(t)]' u^2(t).$$

and on  $(r_n, s_n)$ , we have  $|u| > k_2 \alpha$ . Then

(2.24) 
$$E'(t) \leq \frac{2c_1}{\gamma + 1} [\rho^2(t)\sigma(t)]' k_2^{\gamma + 1} \alpha^{\gamma + 1}(t) - [\rho^2(t)\lambda(t)]' k_2^2 \alpha^2(t)$$

$$= \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} G' \left(\frac{F}{G}\right)^{\frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1}} - F' \left(\frac{F}{G}\right)^{\frac{2}{\gamma - 1}}$$

$$= -\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ F \left(\frac{F}{G}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1}} \right]$$

where  $F(t) = \rho^2(t)\lambda(t)$  and  $G(t) = c_1\rho^2(t)\sigma(t)$ . Integrating (2.24) and using (2.23), we have

$$E(\infty) - E(t_0) = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} E'(t) dt$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{s_n}^{r_n} E'(t) dt$$

$$\leq -\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{s_n}^{r_n} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ F\left(\frac{F}{G}\right)^{\frac{2}{\gamma - 1}} \right] dt$$

$$\leq -\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{s_n}^{r_n} d[k_2^2 \rho^2(t) \lambda(t) \alpha^2(t)]$$

$$= -\frac{k_2^2 (\gamma - 1)}{\gamma + 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{s_n}^{r_n} d[\rho^2(t) \lambda(t) \alpha^2(t)]$$

which contradicts E(t) > 0. This completes the proof.

3. Nonoscillation criteria. We are now in the position to state our main nonoscillation criterion

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose that  $k_1 \left\lceil \frac{1+\gamma}{2} \right\rceil^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} > k_2$  and for some  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , we

- (i)  $d\left[\frac{f(x)}{x^{\gamma}}\right] \le 0$ ,  $d[g(\ln x)x^{\alpha}] \ge 0$ ; (ii)  $d[g^{\gamma+3}(\ln x)x^{\alpha(\gamma+3)}p(x)] \le 0$ ,  $d[g^4(\ln x)x^{2[2\alpha-1]}\lambda(\ln x)] \ge 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\lim_{x\to\infty} g^2(\ln x)x^{2\alpha-1} = \infty$  and  $\lim_{x\to\infty} \lambda(\ln x) = \alpha(1-\alpha)$ ,
- (iv)  $\liminf_{x\to\infty} \int_x^{kx} d\left[g^2(\ln s)/\left(p(s)s^{(\gamma-1)(1-\alpha)+2}\right)^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}}\right] > 0$ where  $k = e^{\tau}$ , g,  $\lambda$  and  $\tau$  are defined in Theorem 2.1. Then all solutions of (1.1) are nonoscillatory.

PROOF. It suffices to verify condition (iv) of Theorem 2.1. Since  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \lambda(t) =$  $\alpha(1-\alpha)$ , we can delete the term  $\lambda(s)$  in the condition (iv) of Theorem 2.1. Then

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{\tau}^{t+\tau} d[\rho^2(s)\lambda(s)\alpha^2(s)] > 0$$

is equivalent to

$$\liminf_{x \to \infty} \int_{x}^{\kappa x} d\left[g^{2}(\ln s) / \left(\rho(s)s^{(\gamma-1)(1-\alpha)+2}\right)^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}}\right] ds > 0$$

with  $x = e^t$ . This completes the proof.

Taking  $g(t) = t^{\mu}$  for  $\mu > 0$  in the above theorem, we get the following

COROLLARY 3.1. Suppose that  $k_1 \left\lceil \frac{\gamma+1}{2} \right\rceil^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} > k_2$  and for some  $\beta, \alpha$  with  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $0 < \alpha \le \frac{1}{2}, we have$ (i)  $d\left[\frac{f(x)}{x^{\gamma}}\right] \le 0, d\left[p(x)x^{\alpha(\gamma+3)}(\ln x)^{\beta}\right] \le 0,$ 

(ii)  $\liminf_{x\to\infty} \int_x^{\kappa x} d\left[ (\ln s)^{\frac{2\beta}{\gamma+3}} / (p(s)s^{\alpha(\gamma-1)+2})^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}} \right] > 0$ where  $\kappa = e^{\tau}$  and  $\tau$  is defined in Theorem 2.1. Then solutions of equation (1.1) are nonoscillatory.

This corollary implies the main result of [12] if we select  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $c_1 = c_2 = k_1 = 0$  $k_2 = 1$  and  $f(x) = x^{\gamma}$ .

The following result indicates our nonoscillation criteria depend on the choice of the auxiliary function g. For simplicity, we let  $f(x) = x^{\gamma}$ .

COROLLARY 3.2. If  $\beta_1 > 0$ ,  $\beta_2 > 0$  and  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in R$  are given so that

$$\frac{2\beta_1}{\gamma+3} + \frac{2\eta_1}{\gamma-1} \ge 1$$

and

$$\frac{2\beta_2}{\gamma+3} + \frac{2\eta_2}{\gamma-1} \ge 0$$
,

then all solutions of equation (1.4) are nonoscillatory in the case where

- (i)  $p(x)x^{\frac{\gamma+3}{2}}(\ln x)^{\beta_1}(\ln \ln x)^{\beta_2}$  is nonincreasing,
- (ii)  $p(x)x^{\frac{\gamma+3}{2}}(\ln x)^{\eta_1}(\ln \ln x)^{\eta_2}$  is bounded.

PROOF. Let  $g(t) = t^{\mu} \ln^{\nu} t$ ,  $\beta_1 = \mu(\gamma + 3) > 0$ ,  $\beta_2 = \nu(\gamma + 3) \ge 0$  and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} d \Big[ g^2(\ln s) / \Big( \rho(s) s^{(\gamma - 1)(1 - \alpha) + 2} \Big)^{\frac{2}{\gamma - 1}} \Big] \\ &= d \Big[ g^2(\ln s) / \Big( \rho(s)^{\frac{2}{\gamma - 1}} s^{\frac{4}{\gamma - 1} + 1} \Big) \Big] \\ &= d \Big[ \Big( p(s) s^{\frac{\gamma + 3}{2}} \ln^{\beta_1} s (\ln \ln s)^{\beta_2} \Big)^{-\frac{2}{\gamma - 1}} (\ln s)^{\frac{2\beta_1}{\gamma + 3} + \frac{2\beta_1}{\gamma - 1}} (\ln \ln s)^{\frac{2\beta_2}{\gamma + 3} + \frac{2\beta_2}{\gamma - 1}} \Big] \\ &\geq [p(s) s^{\frac{\gamma + 3}{2}} \ln^{\eta_1} s (\ln \ln s)^{\eta_2}]^{-\frac{2}{\gamma - 1}} (\ln s)^{\frac{2(\eta_1 - \beta_1)}{\gamma - 1}} (\ln \ln s)^{\frac{2(\eta_2 - \beta_2)}{\gamma - 1}} \\ &\quad \times d [(\ln s)^{\frac{2\beta_1}{\gamma + 3} + \frac{2\beta_1}{\gamma - 1}} (\ln \ln s)^{\frac{2\beta_2}{\gamma + 3} + \frac{2\beta_1}{\gamma - 1}}] \\ &\geq M^{-\frac{2}{\gamma - 1}} \left( \frac{2\beta_1}{\gamma + 3} + \frac{2\beta_1}{\gamma - 1} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{s} (\ln s)^{\frac{2\beta_1}{\gamma + 3} + \frac{2\eta_1}{\gamma - 1} - 1} (\ln \ln s)^{\frac{2\beta_2}{\gamma + 3} + \frac{2\eta_2}{\gamma - 1}} ds \\ &\geq cd(\ln s) \end{split}$$

for some constant c, where by (ii) we assume

$$|p(s)s^{\frac{\gamma+3}{2}}(\ln s)^{\eta_1}(\ln \ln s)^{\eta_2}| \leq M$$

for a constant M > 0. Then it follows that

$$\int_{r}^{\kappa x} d\left[g^2(\ln s)/\left(p(s)^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}}s^{\frac{4}{\gamma-1}+1}\right)\right] \ge c\ln \kappa > 0.$$

Therefore, condition (iv) of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied. The proof is then completed.

By selecting more delicate auxiliary functions g, we can establish more general nonoscillation criteria. For example, letting  $g(t) = t^{\mu_1} \prod_{i=2}^n \left( \ln^{i-1}(t) \right)^{\mu_i}$ , where  $\ln^1(t) = \ln t$ ,  $\ln^2(t) = \ln(\ln t)$  and  $\ln^i(t) = \ln(\ln^{i-1}(t))$ , we get

COROLLARY 3.3. If there exist n pairs  $(\beta_i, \eta_i)$  with  $\beta_1 > 0$ ,  $\beta_i \ge 0$  for  $2 \le i \le n$  such that

(i) 
$$\frac{2\beta_1}{\gamma+3} + \frac{2\eta_1}{\gamma-1} \ge 1$$
 and  $\frac{2\beta_i}{\gamma+3} + \frac{2\eta_i}{\gamma-1} \ge 0$  for  $2 \le i \le n$ .

- (ii)  $p(x)x^{\frac{\gamma+3}{2}}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(\ln^{i}(x))^{\beta_{i}}$  is nonincreasing,
- (iii)  $p(x)x^{\frac{\gamma+3}{2}}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\ln^{i}(x)\right)^{\eta_{i}}$  is bounded.

Then all solutions of equation (1.4) are nonoscillatory.

The proof is similar to that for Corollary 3.2, and therefore is omitted.

## REFERENCES

- 1. F. V. Atkinson, On second order nonlinear oscillations, Pacific J. Math. 5(1955), 643-647.
- 2.S. Belohorec, Oscillatory solutions of certain nonlinear differential equations of second order, Mat. Casopis Sloven, Akad. Vied. 11(1961), 250–255.
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_, On some properties of the equation  $y''(x) + f(x)y^{\alpha}(x) = 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , Mat. Casopis Solven. Akad. Vied. 17(1967), 10–19.
- **4.** K. L. Chiou, The existence of oscillatory solutions for the equation  $\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + q(t)y^{\gamma} = 0$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **35**(1972), 120–122.
- 5. \_\_\_\_\_, A nonoscillation theorem for the superlinear case of second order differential equations  $y'' + yF(y^2, x) = 0$ , SIAM J. Appl. Math. 23(1972), 456–459.
- **6.** C. V. Coffman and J. S. W. Wong, *On a second order nonlinear oscillation problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **147**(1970), 357–366.
- 7. \_\_\_\_\_\_, Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems for the generalized Emden-Fowler equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 167(1973), 399–434.
- L. H. Erbe, Nonoscillation criteria for second order nonlinear differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 108(1985), 515–527.
- 9. \_\_\_\_\_, Oscillation and nonoscillation properties for second order nonlinear differential equations, Equadiff 82, Wurzburg, 1982. Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, 1983, 168–176.
- H. Erbe and Hong Lu, Nonoscillation theorems for second order nonlinear differential equations, Funkcial. Ekvac. 33(1990), 227–244.
- 11. L. H. Erbe and J. S. Muldowney, On the existence of oscillatory solutions to nonlinear differential equations, Ann. Math. Pura. Appl. 59(1976), 23–37.
- L. H. Erbe and J. S. Muldowney, Nonoscillation results for second order nonlinear differential equations, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 12(1982), 635–642.
- R. H. Fowler, Further studies of Emden's and similar differential equations, Quart. J. Math. 2(1931), 259–288.

- **14.** B. Gidas, W. M. Ni and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle*, Comm. Math. Phys. **68**(1979), 209–243.
- **15.** H. G. Kaper and M. K. Kwong, A non-oscillation theorem for the Emden-Fowler equation: ground states for semilinear elliptic equations with critical exponents, J. Differential Equations **75**(1988), 158–185.
- 16. Z. Nehari, A nonlinear oscillation theorem, Duke Math. J. 42(1975), 183-189.
- 17. \_\_\_\_\_, Mathematical Reviews, (11661), 48, (1974).
- 18. J. S. W. Wong, On second order nonlinear oscillation, Funkcial. Ekvac. 11(1968), 207-234.
- 19. \_\_\_\_\_\_, Oscillation theorems for second order nonlinear differential equations, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 3(1975), 283–309.
- 20. \_\_\_\_\_, On the generalized Emden-Fowler equation, SIAM Rev. 17(1975), 339–360.

Department of Mathematics University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2G1

Department of Mathematics University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2G1

Department of Mathematics York University North York, Ontario M3J 1P3